1 Introduction

While much of the global attention has been focused on the issue of refugee protection, internal displacement is a pertinent challenge in many parts of the world. Across Africa, the issue of internal displacement has emerged as a pertinent challenge, the gravity and severity of which has been recognised through the formation of hard law on the subject. Increasingly, states have become mindful of the fact that the realisation of a united and developed Africa cannot be isolated from the protection of displaced populations. While this realisation has shaped the impetus for strategic guidance at the regional level, there is yet the challenge of...
Legal protection of internally displaced persons in Nigeria

While not being a recent phenomenon (given the waves of riots and ethnic tensions which have been notable challenges in Nigeria) the issue of internal displacement continues to be a daunting problem. However, in more recent years it has most been notably pronounced through the Boko Haram insurgency that started in 2009. In the early periods of the insurgency, what became clear was that the normative lacuna in the protection of IDPs was creating a pertinent challenge that needed to be addressed. This insurgency in the north-eastern region, which has been characterised as an armed conflict, has led to the displacement of more than 2 million people over the last two decades. While the armed conflict situation in the north-east has notably spotlighted the issue, the problem of internal displacement in Nigeria has been exacerbated by other issues such as: natural disasters, generalised violence and development projects.

However, in spite of its prevalence, the normative landscape of protection for this population has remained blur. This chapter argues that, drawing on the issues that resonate from internal displacement, it is relevant to develop adequate norms in response to the issues that resonate from the challenge of internal displacement. This chapter examines these issues, considering the specific drivers of internal displacement in Nigeria, the vulnerability of specific groups and the normative protection for IDPs in Nigeria. The chapter further examines the imperatives that a legal protection regime for the protection of IDPs in Nigeria should incorporate drawing on comparative lessons from Kenya, Niger and South Sudan.

The chapter draws on comparative lessons from these countries given the formation of laws on IDPs in these states that also seek to draw on the African Union Convention for the Protection and Assistance of Internally Displaced Persons in Africa (Kampala Convention).
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2 Challenges

The issue of internal displacement is a pertinent challenge in Nigeria. It has notably emerged as one of the issues in need of durable solutions in building an inclusive society, addressing some of the core societal tensions and avoiding future conflicts. There are five main drivers of internal displacement: armed conflict; ethnic tensions; disasters including climate change; development projects; organised crime; and armed banditry. These drivers of internal displacement are considered in turn.

The issue of armed conflict has notably emerged with the Boko Haram which has led to the displacement of more than 2 million people since inception in 2009. While structurally rooted in socio-cultural and religious differences, the Boko Haram insurgency has morphed over the last decade with allegiances of the sect to the Islamic State and contestations for a caliphate that threatens the democratic composition of Nigeria. While the sect's objectives threaten the political stability of Nigeria as a nation state, it has also created a spill-over effect on the stability of countries in the Lake Chad area. The conflict has also become the cause of the most protracted form of internal displacement in Nigeria. Aside from the Boko Haram insurgency, there is also the problem of ethnic tensions that, while not having attained the threshold of armed conflict, has notably resulted in significant population displacement. Prominent among these ethnic tensions are the clashes between herdsmen and farmers in parts of central Nigeria. Over the last five years, these clashes have resulted in the displacement of hundreds of thousands across northern states including Benue and Plateau. However, these clashes have also been linked to the impacts of climate change causing scarcity of resources and straining the availability of these resources between herdsmen and agrarian societies. Besides these ethnic clashes and impacts of climate change in fueling the conflicts, there is also the issue of disasters mostly due to torrential rainfalls. These disasters have also resulted in the displacement of significant populations in various parts of the country including Adamawa, Anambra, Bayelsa, Rivers and Taraba. Due to these torrential rains, more than 141 000 people were displaced in 12 states between August and September 2018. Moreover, there is the issue of development projects. A vigorous drive towards economic development has led to the construction of several large-scale dams and invariably occasioned the displacement of
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thousands of people. In the Niger Delta region oil spills have driven the displacement narrative within the context of natural resource extraction. However, in more recent times, urban renewal projects have become the prominent face of development-induced displacement in Nigeria. Between 2003 and 2007, more than 800,000 individuals were displaced for the Abuja Master Plan without adequate compensation. In Lagos, approximately 34,700 people were were displaced from Otodo-Gbame community without proper resettlement in 2017. The issue of organised crime and armed banditry has also become a recent phenomenon on the displacement landscape resulting in population displacement in parts of the north-west and north-central.

As a consequence of internal displacement, these populations experience a plethora of challenges due to the dispossession and deprivation created by the internal displacement situation. Understanding the vulnerability that these people experience is integral to the rationale for specific protection. There are four kinds of vulnerabilities that displacement occasions.

There are general forms of vulnerabilities that touch on homelessness, the absence of basic amenities, health and food supplies. There are also gender-specific forms of vulnerabilities that may be occasioned by situations of internal displacement and this includes gender-based violence against women or issues of early, child and forced marriage for young girls. There are also issues of sexual and reproductive health that are occasioned by the absence of adequate protection in situations of internal displacement. Moreover, IDPs may also experience group-based vulnerabilities. These vulnerabilities are those that often emerge in the context of specific groups such as indigenous peoples. In situations of internal displacement, indigenous peoples experience challenges that border on the perpetuation of their cultural identities. In the Nigerian context, for instance, the situation of the Ogoni peoples reflects this, notably in view of the challenges to the cultural perpetuation of their lifestyle as fishing communities due to the impact of development projects. Moreover, there are also specific vulnerabilities that emerge within the context of various root causes such as armed conflict and
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development projects. In the context of development projects, for instance, this may relate to landlessness and marginalisation, where those displaced for development projects are treated as development collaterals.

The inadequacy of normative protection for these persons accentuate the challenges they experience. At present, there is no specific law on IDP protection in Nigeria. While there have been attempts to develop law and policy responses, these have fallen through in the last decade mostly due to the absence of political will. However, it is notable to establish that the Kampala Convention has been ratified. This norm, while being context-specific to Africa, can adequately respond to the issue of internal displacement in Nigeria. However, before this is considered, it is relevant to examine forms of legal protection for IDPs in Nigeria. The most imperative source of protection for IDPs is the 1999 Nigerian Constitution which incorporates general human rights standards that are applicable to all persons. While this is imperative, the Constitution does not specifically provide protection for IDPs as a specific category where it reflects the issue of non-discrimination. It also does not specifically reflect on non-discrimination under the fundamental rights section that is justiciable but in the context of directive principles of state policy which cannot form the basis of an actionable claim. The import of these are that IDPs may not be able to make a claim to specific protection as a category of persons within the context of non-discrimination that may be interpreted broadly by judicial systems to grant them notable protection.

Moreover, it is pertinent to emphasise that there are norms that speak to the protection of children and persons with disabilities such as the Child Rights Act and the Disability Act. The Child Rights Act recognises internally-displaced children as a category ‘in need’ for the protection of the government. Governments within states of the federation are required to ‘safeguard and promote’ their welfare. However, the generality of this provision does not provide guidance on the specificities in the context of internal displacement. While the Child Rights Act, at the very least, recognises internally-displaced children as requiring protection, the Disability Act does not incorporate similar provisions for persons with disabilities. Also, there are also no specific laws that protect women.
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On the institutional front, the absence of a specific law on the protection of IDPs makes it unclear as to whose specific mandate it is to protect these persons. What this potentially creates and, indeed so, within the institutional landscape on IDP protection in Nigeria, are rifts between institutions and a broad confusion on whose role it is to ensure effective protection. With no institution having a clear mandate on IDP protection, adequate oversight on the protection of IDPs has also been a challenge. For instance, in the law creating the National Emergency Management Authority (NEMA), the agency is saddled with the responsibility of coordinating effective response towards disaster management. Although it has been significantly involved in the protection of IDPs in the north-east, its legal mandate does not specifically provide for this, neither does the legal mandate of the National Commission for Refugees, Migrants and IDPs (NCFR) under its enabling Act specifically cover the protection of IDPs, although by virtue of a presidential memo it was granted permission to provide durable solutions to these persons. However, the main lacuna in these instruments is the fact that they contain pockets of rights that may be claimed by IDPs but then are also subject to limitations. For instance, in the context of development projects, the right to property may be interpreted against specific categories such as indigenous peoples who may not have documents to prove title to land under the national legislation. However, in 2012 a draft national policy on IDPs was developed with the objective of strengthening ‘institutional mechanisms and framework’ for the purpose of realising ‘the rights, dignity and wellbeing of vulnerable populations through the prevention of the root causes, mitigation of the impact and achievement of durable solutions to internal displacement in Nigeria’. While the draft policy accentuates the need to prevent arbitrary displacement, addresses situations of displacement due to various root causes, sets out the role of the government, humanitarian agencies, host communities and armed groups, it is yet to be adopted. Moreover, it accentuates the need for a ‘legal framework for upholding the rights of internally-displaced persons including domestication and implementation of the Kampala Convention’. The next part this chapter accentuates pertinent areas which a national framework on IDPs should
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incorporate in the protection and assistance of IDPs in Nigeria, drawing on the provisions of the Kampala Convention and the normative response of Kenya, Niger and South Sudan.

3 Imperatives and comparative lessons

In developing a normative framework for the protection of IDPs, there are key normative imperatives that should be reflected. Notably, there has to be general provisions on protection and assistance and the instrument must also define the functions of various institutions including those that exist in order to strengthen the institutional response mechanism. Moreover, it is important that the preliminary provisions of the framework should accentuate the purpose of the instrument: protection and assistance of IDPs within the specific territory. The laws of both Kenya and South Sudan stress this point. The South Sudan IDP law provides that the purpose of the law is ‘to establish a legal framework for preventing arbitrary displacement, provide protection and assistance as well as achieving durable solutions for internally-displaced persons and displacement affected communities in South Sudan’.28 The long title of the Kenya IDP law reflects the fact that it is developed ‘to make further provision for the prevention, protection and provision of assistance to internally-displaced persons and affected communities’.29

Also, it is imperative that the framework for the protection of IDPs should emphasise other normative sources from which to draw protection for IDPs as with the case of laws of Kenya, Niger and South Sudan. Niger IDP Law accentuates the 1998 UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement, the Kampala Convention and Niger’s 2010 Constitution.30 Such normative sources should further include international and regional human rights instruments. The relevance of these normative sources is to ensure that a reference point exists for the purpose of interpretation.

The primary responsibility of the state must be emphasised in the national framework for protection of IDPs. The South Sudan IDP Law offers pertinent guidance on how to reflect this imperative in providing that ‘[p]rimary responsibility for protecting and assisting internally-displaced persons as well as for achieving durable solutions shall lie on the whole of government at national, state, and local levels’.31 Moreover, it is important to underscore the role of National Human Rights Commissions given their specific mandate on human rights protection within national contexts. Niger IDP Law notably reflects this recognising the role of the National Human Rights Commission in examining human rights violation in the context of the law.32 Niger IDP Law further criminalises arbitrary
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displacement.\textsuperscript{33} Arguably, to ensure deterrence. This also resonates in the IDP Laws of Kenya and South Sudan.\textsuperscript{34}

In addition, it is important that the IDP framework should recognise root causes of internal displacement and protect various groups that may be impacted by internal displacement. In the definition section, the IDP Laws of the three states recognise root causes of internal displacement including armed conflict, natural or human-made disasters and development projects.\textsuperscript{35} Notably, South Sudan IDP Law recognises climate change which is significant given the emerging impacts of climate change and its nexus with internal displacement.\textsuperscript{36} Moreover, the laws of both countries also incorporate protection for specific groups such as women, children and persons with disabilities.

Also, the IDP law should set up an operational body to provide humanitarian assistance and development support. This is notably reflected in South Sudan IDP Law through the establishment of a Relief and Rehabilitation Commission for the provisions of ‘humanitarian assistance and development support aimed at building self-reliance, resilience, and durable solutions for internally-displaced persons and displacement affected communities.’\textsuperscript{37} While there are currently institutions such as NEMA and the NCFR that deal with disaster management and issues of migrants and refugees more broadly, having a specific institution of this nature enables a targeted holistic approach to the institutional protection of IDPs.

Moreover, it is imperative that an IDP law should make provision for awareness raising. Niger IDP Law provides that the state is to develop awareness raising programs on the causes and consequences of internal displacement.\textsuperscript{38} Kenya IDP Law also provide for awareness raising by government, as part of education syllabus, county governments as with cities and urban areas.\textsuperscript{39} Given the importance of enhancing compliance with the provision of the law, provision should be made for monitoring and evaluation. South Sudan IDP Law establishes a Monitoring and Evaluation Committee to ‘monitor and evaluate the implementation’ of law.\textsuperscript{40} The Committee is mandated to ‘meet as often as required and at least once every month and issue annual reports on the implementation’ of the law.\textsuperscript{41}
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Durable solutions must also be emphasised in an IDP law, and this should involve: voluntary return, reintegration and resettlement. Moreover, it should be done with the full participation of IDPs. Niger IDP Law notably provides that IDPs should participate in research, planning and implementation of proposed durable solutions. Kenya IDP Law also reinforces the need for the state to safeguard IDP rights ‘to make informed and voluntary decisions on whether to return, locally integrate or resettle elsewhere in the country.’ In the Kampala Convention, there is an emphasis on durable solutions as being the responsibility of the state. It is imperative that this is reflected in the IDP law, however, this should also be regarded as a whole of society approach and this provision in the law should not be framed to exclude regional and international cooperation. Under the South Sudan IDP Law, a ‘whole of government and a whole of society approach’ is advanced which includes implementation of agreements that can enhance peace and security, participation of IDPs and development support.

Notably also, the normative framework must stress the need for a multi-sectoral coordinative effort geared towards protection and assistance of this category. The essence of having a multi-sectoral coordinative effort is to ensure that various organs of government are involved in the process. It is also to create effective dialogue between national and international actors in the furtherance of protection for these persons. As the needs of IDPs are diverse and cut across various sectors, a multi-sectoral coordinative effort aids the realisation of effective protection and assistance.

4 Conclusion

The issue of internal displacement is a pressing challenge in Nigeria. Given the prevalence of the issue, it is increasingly relevant to provide adequate response to the challenge. One of the areas of relevance is in the context of legal solutions, which is yet to be solidified in the Nigerian context. Over the last two decades, there have been increasing recognition for the need to protect IDPs through a law, in line with the Kampala Convention which Nigeria has both signed and ratified. However, this is yet to be realised. Drawing on legal protection in Kenya, Niger and South Sudan, this chapter argues that there are key imperatives which Nigeria must consider in the developing a normative framework for the protection and assistance of IDPs.
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